

CURRICULUM AND SYSTEMS COMMITTEE

Meeting Minutes

February 28, 2019

(Meeting held on Zoom)

Present: Mike Sobieski, Deb Baca, Tara Yesenski, Jordyn Genevro, Mary Kennedy, Doneitta Layeux, Cindy Risinger, Karah Gagnon, Parker Galope, Doneitta Layeux, Brittany Erhard, Annie Gilbert, Connie Johnston

Absent: John Huppenthal, Marcus Harrison, Amy Gurtler, Cheryl Hogan

Mike Sobieski called the meeting to order at 4:05 P.M.

PLEASE NOTE:

The Curriculum and Systems Committee falls under the Arizona Open Meeting Law. Meeting Notices and Minutes will be placed on the Ball Charter website for all future meetings.

Topic	Discussion	Action/ Update
1. Standing meeting date/time	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Time established as the 4th Thursday of the month, 4:00 P.M. ▪ Meetings will be 60 to 90 minutes 	The meeting was held via Zoom.
2. RTI Toolkit Updates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Curriculum Master Summary is currently up-to-date ▪ It is a living document and will continually be revised/refined <p>Brittany stated she really appreciated the information on student behavior in the classroom.</p>	
3. Science Curriculum Meeting Feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mary Kennedy, Angela Gauthier, Stephen Knoblauch, and Cheryl Hogan met on Tuesday. The Pearson science curriculum and McGraw Hill products were discussed. There were a lot of questions and exchange of ideas. Another meeting is scheduled for March. May require another meeting in April. ▪ Correlation – need to research to see if products are a good fit with AZ state standards ▪ Deb met with Cheryl, who was very positive and excited about the collaboration. Lots of good ideas shared. ▪ Pearson contact indicated to Mary there is a possibility they could be coming out with an Arizona version. ▪ Once decision is made, things can move quickly. ▪ Parker noted SPED staff would like copies of the materials. 	<p>Action: Let Mike know when next meeting is scheduled. Would like to attend if available.</p> <p>Action Goals: 1) Assure teachers are empowered 2) Homogeneity among campuses</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Representatives want to ensure there is training on the new materials, being cognizant as an organization, not to overwhelm with new curriculum product training. ▪ Annie noted the advantage of having consistent Science Curriculum. Academic metrics can be looked at point of time and as to how effective. ▪ Each campus has approximately the same amount of training. Determination as to where additional professional development is needed could occur jointly (Grand Experiment). ▪ Tara indicated as a parent she is comforted by the long look and thoughtfulness curriculum selection. She welcomes a curriculum that that generates excitement for the sciences. ▪ Doneitta is excited if we could get a Science program in place for next year! 	
4. DIBELS/MOWR Standardized Test	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mary Kennedy reported on legal matters concerning DIBELS. She had also inquired regarding academic guidance/assessments. Acadience Guidance may be used—noted no conflict, but cannot be endorsed. 	Keep on the Agenda so we know how lawsuit outcomes affect us.
5. Action Items	<p>Additional Agenda items to pick up at the next meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Training – hypothetically utilize the Preservice day for more targeted training with all three schools. Could perhaps add a day before Preservice for just Science. ▪ The idea could perhaps be added to discussion by ADMIN Leadership who are already planning out Preservice day. ▪ Mary noted there are a lot of training materials and computers that would require hauling. ▪ Brittany indicated she liked the idea of a full-day science training activity. 	Teacher Day science training gets on Agenda
6. Next Committee Meeting Date	Thursday, March 28 4:00 p.m.	

The Curriculum and Systems Committee Meeting was adjourned by Mike Sobieski at 4:47 P.M.

Meeting Minutes Submitted by: Connie Johnston, Board Coordinator.