## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Chief Judge Philip A. Brimmer

Civil Action No. 21-cv-01320-PAB-MDB

OLIVIA BALLAGE,

Plaintiff,

٧.

HOPE & HOME,

Defendant.

## ORDER ACCEPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on the Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge filed on August 29, 2022 [Docket No. 28]. The Recommendation

states that objections to the Recommendation must be filed within fourteen days after its
service on the parties. Docket No. 28 at 22–23; see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The

Recommendation was served on August 29, 2022. No party has objected to the

Recommendation.

In the absence of an objection, the district court may review a magistrate judge's recommendation under any standard it deems appropriate. See Summers v. Utah, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) ("It does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings."). In this matter, the Court has reviewed the Recommendation

to satisfy itself that there is "no clear error on the face of the record." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), Advisory Committee Notes. Based on this review, the Court has concluded that the Recommendation is a correct application of the facts and the law. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

- The Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge [Docket No. 28] is

  ACCEPTED;
- 2. Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss [Docket No. 20] is **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**; and
  - 3. Plaintiff's Title VII retaliation claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

DATED September 16, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

PHILIP A. BRIMMER

Chief United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This standard of review is something less than a "clearly erroneous or contrary to law" standard of review, Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a), which in turn is less than a de novo review. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).